2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP607P)

Name of Student *	тронп
Abhishek Joshi	
Email id *	1 point
abhij2867@gmail.com	

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives

* I point

Average

Excellent





PRINCIPAL SNUB'S SHRIMAT SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEWHOAGAR CHANDWAD (MASHIK)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Average

Excellent

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching * 1 point Tools) and communicating quality?

Average

Excellent





SALE'S SHRUMAN SURESHOADA JAM COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

* 1 HOWN

2 () 3 () 4 (iii)	
2 (
OW LONG!	
1 ()	
Average	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? *	Tipolint
Excellent	
4 Excellent	
3 (
2 🔘	
1 ()	
Average	

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

Google Forms





PRINCIPAL SNUB'S SHRIBAN EURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Acad	emic	Year	2021	-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP607P)

Name of Student *	T point
Nupur Sugan Duggad	
Email id *	1 point
nupurduggad16@gmail.com	

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives

* 1 point

Average

Excellent





SNJB'E SHRIMAN DURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR CHANDWAD INASHIKI

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Average

- 1 (
- 2 (
- 3
- 4 6

Excellent

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching * 1 point Tools) and communicating quality?

Average

- 1 6
- 2 (
- 3
- 4 🛞

Excellent





PRINCIPAL

SMJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAR

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIX)

* point

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	w. J. point
Average	
1 0	
2 (
3 🔘	
4 📵	
Excellent	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? *	1 point
Average	
1 ()	
2 ()	
з 🔘	
4 🔘	
Excellent	
Would you like to suggest anything? *	1 point
No	
This form was greated incide of SN IR Claim Corplets	



Google Forms





2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP601T)

Name of Student *	1 point
Tejal Baban Mahale	
Email id *	1 point
mahaletejal1@gmail.com	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	* 1 point
Average	
1 🔾	
2 ()	
3 🔘	
4	
Excellent	0





PRINCIPAL

SMIB'S SHRIDAM SUITESHOADA JAW

COLLEGE OF PHARDACY, NEWS NAGAR

CHANGY DIO (NASHIK)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

* It point

Average

- 1 (
- 2
- 3
- 4 (

Excellent

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching * 1 point Tools) and communicating quality?

Average

- 1 (
- 2 (
- 3
- 4 (

Excellent







How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	* Tjernt
Average	
T ()	
2 ()	
3 (
4 📵	
Excellent	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? *	1 point
Average	
1 ()	
2 ()	
3 O	
4	
Excellent	
Would you like to suggest anything? *	1 point
No suggestions	

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul)

Google Forms





PRINCIPAL
SNJ8's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHRI BRCY, NENIMAGAR
CHANDWAD (HASHIK)

2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP601T)

Name of Student *	7 point
Pooja Ajmera	
Email id *	1 point
pooja.ajmera90214@gmail.com	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	* 1 point
Average	
1 ()	
2 🔘	
3 🔘	
4 🚳	
Excellent	Λ





PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

* Typening

Average

Excellent

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching * 1 point Tools) and communicating quality?

Average

Excellent







ould you like to suggest anything? *	1 point
xcellent	
4 📵	
3 O	
2 🔘	
1 ()	
verage	
low would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? *	1 point
xcellent	
4 📵	
3 (
2 ()	
1 ()	
verage	
nd concept building quality?	

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

Google Forms







2020-21 Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics Course Feedback Form

Program: B. Pharmacy					
Academic Year: 2020-21	Year /Semes	ter: Third Year	B.Pharmacy (VI	Semester)	
Course Title; Biopharmace	eutics and Phan	macokinetics	Course Code: B	P604T	
Name of Students (Surr	name First) *				
Sonawane Gayatri Vinayak					
1 How would you sat	a tha answers a	n the book o	f fulfillment of a	blastkess 2 *	
How would you rat	e the course o	n the basis o	i luilliment or o	bjectives/ ^	
	1	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent
How would you rat than syllabus?	e the course o	n the basis o	f the content ar	nd novelty disc	ussed other *
man synapas					
	1	2	3	4	4
Average	Q -	SURESHO	0	•	Excellent
	IQAC V	CHANDWA		ps	RINCIPAL
	Chandend Vi	DIST NASH	اوُلا	SNJB's SAR	MAN SURESHDADA JAIN

How would you ommunicating qua	u rate the cours lity?	se on mo mon	in passining	(restoring res	**************************************
	1	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	0	0	Excellent
How would you uality?	u rate the cours	se on the basis	s of variety of e	examples and	concept building
	1	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent
How would yo	u overall rate ti	ne course *			
	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent
ould you like to s	uggest anythin	g? +			

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

Google Forms







20-21 Sem V Industrial Pharmacy Feed Back Form

Program: B. Pharmacy							
Academic Year:2021-22	Year /Semester: Third Year B.Pharmacy (Semester V)						
Course Title: Industrial F	harmacy	Course Code: BP506T					
Dall No of an James							
Roll No of student *							
38							
Name of Student (Sur	name First).*					
Munot Roshani Chandula	il						
How would you ra	te the cou	rse on the basis	of fulfillment	of objectives?			
	1	2	3	4			
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent		
					dt-		
		Sal Sur			PRINCIPAL		
		E CHAN	ASH#() ##	SNJE's	SHRIMAH SURESHDADA JAIN DE OF PHARMAGY, HERMAGAR		
		(C) Para	-29		CHALLDWAD (HASHIK)		
		000	1				

! How would yo han syllabus?	ou rate the cou	irse on the ba	isis of the c	ontent and	d novelty disc	cussed other *
	4	2	3		4	
Average	0	0	0		0	Excellent
. How would yo	ou rate the cou	urse on the ba	sis of prese	enting (Te	aching Tools) and *
ommunicating q	uality?					
	ī	2	3		4	
Average	0	0	C)	•	Excellent
. How would yo	ou rate the cou	urse the basis	of variety of	of example	es and conce	pt building *
uality?						
	T.	2	3		4	
Average	0	0	•)	0	Excellent
. How would y	ou overall rate	the course *				
	1	2	3	4	5	
Average	0	0	0	0	0	Excellent
	(2) 10	AC S	165	JREWIO (S)	SMJE	PRINCIPAL
	1011.94	The I	THE CHI	IANDWAD ST. NASHIK	COLL	PE SHROMAN SHESHDADAN EGE OF PHILL MCYNESHRA CHARLAHAD (NASHRA)
	1,55	397	100	14.423101	1	

2020-21 Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics Course Feedback Form

Pro	gram: B. Pharmacy					
Aca	demic Year: 2020-21	Year /Ser	mester: Third Year	B.Pharmac	cy (VI Semester)
Cou	rse Title: Biopharmace	eutics and P	harmacokinetics	Course Co	de: BP604T	
Nar	me of Students (Surr	ame First)	*			
Dho	mase kanchan Dnyane	shwar				
1.	How would you rate	e the cours	se on the basis o	f fulfillment	t of objectives	?*
		1	2	3	4	
	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent
2. than	How would you rate	e the cours	se on the basis o	f the conte	nt and novelty	discussed other *
		ĭ	2	3	4	Ñ.
	Average	0	0	O SUR,	0	Excellent
		1240	1 5	CHAND DIST. NA. PIN-423	1811	PRINCIPAL SNJE'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAN COLLEGE OF PHARMACAR CHARDWARD DIRECTOR

	ow would you inicating quali		se on the basis	of presenting	(Teaching Too	ls) and
		1	2	3	Æ	
A	\verage	0	O	(6)	0	Excellent
4. Ho		rate the cours	se on the basis	of variety of	examples and o	concept building *
		1	2	3	4	
A	Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent
5. H	ow would you	overall rate th	ne course *			
		1	2	3	.4	
7	Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent
Would	you like to su	ggest anythin	g? *			
No						

This form was created inside of SNJR (Jain Gurukul).

Google Forms







2021-22 Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics Course Feedback Form

	-22 Year /Ser	Mesagra Hira Ye	ar p. Fharmac	y (VI Semester)	
Course Title: Biopharr	naceutics and P	harmacokinetic	s Course Cod	e: BP604T	
Name of Students (Surname First)	*			
Kothari Uday Shantilal					
How would you	rate the cour	se on the basis	of fulfillment	of objectives?	•
~	4	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent
	rate the cours	se on the basis	s of the conten	t and novelty o	liscussed other
	3	2	3	4	
2. How would you than syllabus? Average	0	2	3 ®	0	Excellent

	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	•	0	0	Excellent	
. How would you uality?	ı rate the cours	se on the basis	s of variety of	examples and	concept building	
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
. How would you	ı overall rate th	ne course *				
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent	

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

Google Forms





CHAHUNGUIMASHIKI

20-21 Sem V Industrial Pharmacy Feed Back Form

Program: B. Pharmacy	1				
Academic Year:2021-2	22	Year /Semes	ter: Third Year B.	Pharmacy (Sen	nester V)
Course Title: Industria	l Pharmacy	Course Code	BP506T		
Roll No of student *					
12			-		
Name of Student (St	urname First)	; #			
Chavan Pranjal Sanjay					
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
How would you	rate the cour	se on the basis	of fulfillment o	of objectives?	*
	1	2	3	4	
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent
					A
192	100 E 100 E	for	THE STATE OF		
	IOAC	Di Co	H-423101	SNJB	PRINCIPAL S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAM
(a)	States 1	Res.	DE BHALLOWS	COLLE	GE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR CHAMDINAD (MASHAO)

2. than	How would syllabus?	I you rate the cour	se on the ba	isis of the	content an	d novelty di	scussed other *
		ī	2	10	3	4	
	Average	0	0	(D .	(6)	Excellent
3.	How would	I you rate the cour I quality?	se on the ba	sis of pres	senting (Te	aching Tool	s) and
		1	2		3	4	
	Average	0	0	()	•	Excellent
4. qua	How would lity?	I you rate the cour	se the basis	of variety	of example	es and cond	cept building *
		1)	2		3	4	
	Average	0	0	(0	•	Excellent
5.	How would	l you overall rate t	he course *				
		1	2	3	4	5	
	Average	0	0	0	0	•	Excellent
		FINACO (SERVICE)		1 1 1	MDWAD EL MASHIK . WASHIK . WASHING . WASHI	SNJB'S	PRINCIPAL SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAWA GE OF PHARMAGUNEMINAGUR CHANDWAD (SASHIK)

20-21 Pharmaceutics-I: Course feedback form

BP103T Pharmaceutics-I

Academic Year: 2020-21 Year /Semester: first year sem-1

Email *

siddichordiya1122@gmail.com

Name of Student *

Siddhi Nitin chordiya

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives? *

Average

Excellent





PRINCIPAL SULA'S SHEUSEN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PARSHACY NEISHAGAR CHANGINAD (MASHIX)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Average

Excellent

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) * and communicating quality?

Average

Excellent



COLLEGE OF PHANDACY, MEMIMAGAR CHANDFIAD (NASHIK)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	a
Average	
1 0	
2 ()	
3 ()	
4	
Excellent	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? *	
Average	
1 ()	
2 🔘	
з ()	
4 📵	
Excellent	

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).

Would you like to suggest anything?

No

Google Forms





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN BURGEHDADA JAM
COLLEGE OF PHARMAUY, NESHIYAGAR
CHARDWARD (HASHIX)

20-21 Pharmaceutics-I: Course feedback form

BP103T Pharmaceutics-I

Academic Year: 2020-21 Year / Semester: first year sem-1

Email *

bhagyashric2002@gmail.com

Name of Student *


Bhagyashri Ashok Chavan

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives? *

Average

Excellent





How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Average

Excellent

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) * and communicating quality?

Average

Excellent





Chandrad (RASHA)

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	780
Average	
1 (3)	
2	
3 🔘	
4 📵	
Excellent	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? *	
Average	
1 ()	
2 🔘	
3 (
4 📵	
Excellent	
Would you like to suggest anything? *	
No	

This form was created inside of SNJB (Jain Gurukul).



Google Forms





PRINCIPAL SNUB'S SHRUING COLLEGE OF PHRELIACY, MENINAGAR CHANDWAD (RASHIX)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 -2022 1. The information displayed on your examination timetable is clear and easy to understand Always Mostly Rarely Sometimes Never 2. Are the exam venues easy to locate? Yes Sometimes [Never Rarely Are University's Exam Rules are provided/explained to you? 4. Yes Sometimes Rarely Never 5. What do you think is the best format for communicating the Exam Rules to students? Notice Board Dedicated email Social Media 6. When entering exam venues, are you clearly instructed as to where to place your bag, coat and mobile phone? Always Mostly Sometimes Rarely Never 7. Arrangements like sitting, drinking water etc. are implemented effectively in examination hall? Sometimes Rarely Never 8. The invigilators are quick to respond when you raise your hand Sometimes Rarely Never 9. Is the faculty gave Feedback on your performance after the Examinations Sometimes Rarely 10. Overall, how do you rate your SSDJ examination experience? Excellent Good Satisfactory Poor Very Poor 11. Any suggestions to improve examination section performance NO

CHANDY OF PIN 423101

Student Name & Signature: Priyanka Shamrao Kashe
Year/Semester: Fined Vear Juit Sem

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANGWAY (MASSIM)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM

ACADEMIC YEAR: 20 21 -20 22

IQAC

	ACADEMIC YEAR: 20_7120
1. Th	ne information displayed on your examination timetable is clear and easy to
ur	nderstand
Al	ways Mostly Sometimes Rarely Never
2. At	re the exam venues easy to locate?
Ye	es Sometimes Rarely Never
3. A	re University's Exam Rules are provided/explained to you?
4 Y	es Sometimes Rarely Never
- 5. W	hat do you think is the best format for communicating the Exam Rules to students?
2 0	ral Notice Board Dedicated email Social Media
6. W	When entering exam venues, are you clearly instructed as to where to place your bag
	oat and mobile phone?
	Mostly Sometimes Rarely Never
7. A	arrangements like sitting, drinking water etc. are implemented effectively in
	xamination hall?
Y	es Sometimes Rarely Never
8. T	The invigilators are quick to respond when you raise your hand
v	As Sometimes Rarely Never
9 1	s the faculty gave Feedback on your performance after the Examinations
V	les Sometimes Rarely Never
10 (Overall, how do you rate your SSDJ examination experience?
i p	Sycollent Good Satisfactory Poor Very Poor
11	Any suggestions to improve examination section performance
11.	No.
- 1	14.0
Section	· · ralla
ALTERNA .	Student Name & Signature: Manasi Panalu Haralla
(3/00	Year / Semester: 2rd yr B Phann
P01-421/01	Year / Semester: 2 1: 20 PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL
CEO POS	SNIE SAR ZU WEGLERAL AND
Capter 2	COLLEGE OF A SWILD (TASKA)
	The State of the S



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

SMILE

EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM

ACADEMIC YEAR: 20_21 _-20 22_

1. The information displayed on your examination timetable is clear and easy to understand Always Mostly Sometimes Rarely Never 2. Are the exam venues easy to locate? Yes Sometimes Rarely Never 3. Are University's Exam Rules are provided/explained to you? 4. Yes Sometimes Rarely [Never 5. What do you think is the best format for communicating the Exam Rules to students? Oral Notice Board Dedicated email Social Media 6. When entering exam venues, are you clearly instructed as to where to place your bag, coat and mobile phone? Always Mostly Sometimes Rarely Never 7. Arrangements like sitting, drinking water etc. are implemented effectively in examination hall? Sometimes Rarely Never 8. The invigilators are quick to respond when you raise your hand Sometimes Yes Rarely [Never 9. Is the faculty gave Feedback on your performance after the Examinations Sometimes Rarely Never 10. Overall, how do you rate your SSDJ examination experience? Excellent Good Satisfactory Poor Very Poor 11. Any suggestions to improve examination section performance Student Name & Signature: Gifts h Muthou Year / Semester: Find year (oth)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

(B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?	1			
2	Are the most recent books accessible?	/			
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?				
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?				
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?		1		

l low army	1	Ulca 13	1	horse

Name of Student: Grataget Choten Satish

Year/Semester: 2021-2022 / 7+L

Course Name: Fral year B Pharmacy

Signature: (Latane





PRINCIPAL
SNUB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (HASHIX)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?	v			
2	Are the most recent books accessible?	L	-0		
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?		~	-	
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	V			
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?		V		

Name of Studen	t: parag mangilol lotwoni	
	2021-22 7HD	
	find year 8 phom	



(a) Suggestions (if any)



依依安全非非非非非非

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHASSIACY MEMMAGAR
CHANDWAS (HASHK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
I	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	-	+		
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?		-		
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?		_		
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?				
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?		~		
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?			-	
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?		<u></u>		

. <u>S</u> ນຄ ໝໍາ	gestion is to improve the fl connectivity.
Name of Student:	Gaikwood Prema Ashok
Year/Semester:	21-22 semester II
Course Name:	B. Pharmocy
Signature:	Ad 1



(b) Suggestions (if any)



PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?			<u></u>	
2	Are the most recent books accessible?		,		-
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?			V	
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?				-
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?		_	-	_

(a) Suggestions (if any)		n !-	dhee sheet wa		v
nombe	er of	book .	copies	ulable	mor
		\$ 5 0	2	7 ()	
Name of Student:G	aikwod	Prema	Ashok	<u> </u>	
Year/Semester:		-2 Se			
Course Name:	B.ph	armacy			
Signature:	ELL				
16.00			•21		



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	M			
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?		/		
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?		1		
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?				
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	/			
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	V			
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	V			

(b) Suggestions (if any)	
70.0	
Name of Student: Indon'ty a Harshal Mahandra	
Year/Semester: 2021-2024	
Course Name: Computer Lahoratory	
Signature: Quel	14





PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?	1			
2	Are the most recent books accessible?	1			
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?	1			
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?		/		
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?		/		

	No			
Name of Student:		Hamhal	Maneralon	
Course Name:	Bphetomacy	1		0



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	~			
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?	سا			
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?	-			
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?		سا	_	
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	~			
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	レ			
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	V			

(b) Suggestions (if any)	
No Suggestions	
Name of Student: Daga Prathanesh Sunil) #.(
Year/Semester: 2011-12/ Semester -2 Course Name: R - Ohamoutt	

Course Name: 13-12hamalu

Signature: Jun





PRINCIPAL
SNIB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAS
(SHANDWED INASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
I	Are the library access hours suitable?	V	2		
2	Are the most recent books accessible?	~			
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?	V			
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	1			
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?	V			

	10 suggestion
Name	of Student: Daga Prathamesh Synil
Year/	Semester: 2021-22 / Semester - 2
Cours	e Name: B-Phamacy
Signa	ture: Two

(a) Suggestions (if any)



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?	/			
2	Are the most recent books accessible?				
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?				
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?			•	
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?				

	1/0-		
ame of Student: Bhamare Krishna.			
lame of Student: ISDOMOTE TOSPICE		51	
Year/Semester: 2021 - 22 Seman	Jama of Student:	15/10/10/01/15/05	
			=





PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHIR MACY, NEMINAGAR CHARGOTT (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M, Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
ì	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?				
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?				
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?			-	
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?				
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?			-	
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?			*	
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?		_	-	

b) Suggestions (if	any)		
2			
Name of Student:	Bhamare	ekrishno.)(————————————————————————————————————
Year/Semester:	2021-22	SemI	
Course Name:	B. Pharma	oy.	==
Signature: Kr	<u></u>	. •0	



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	ä	2	3	4
1	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?			~	
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?		~		
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?		/		
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?			~	
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	/			
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	W	/		
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?		/		

(b) Suggestions (if a	ny)			×		
Computer	are o	vailable	in r	umbers	But	Some
computer			120		5.0	
_are not	proper	Function	تبهمند			
Name of Student: _	Aonyo	<u>Cujroni</u>		Λ.		

Course Name: B. pharmacy

Year/Semester: Semester - 11 2021/2022

Signature: Auga





PRINCIPAL
SNUB'S SHRIMAN SUPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PERSONAL NEMINAGAR



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?		/		
2	Are the most recent books accessible?		/		
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?		~		
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	1			
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?	9		~	

(a) Comparations (if and
(a) Suggestions (if any) Pfr Provide more Reference book & standord copies
except PVV & Nirali, Do the availability of
Standard book For Minor subject also like HOT etc.
Name of Student: Anya Gujroni
Year/Semester: 2021-2022 Semester-II
Course Name: B. Pharmacy
Signature: Agent



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge	~			
2	Planning Abilities	~			
3 🔹	Problem Analysis	~			
4	Modern tool usage		~		
5	Leadership skills	~			
6	Professional Identity	~	Maray	(11/2	
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics		~		
8	Communication	~		-2-	
9	The Pharmacist and society	~			
10	Environment and Sustainability		~		3
11	Life -long learning	~			Str. F

CHART AND CONTROL OF C

PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY MEMINADAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIX)

Suggestions to improve the overall teaching -learning process

ention any	three best practices of the institution
1	
II	
III.	El
ention any	three weakness of the institution
1	
I	

Name of Student: Dabhade Nikita Bhaushaheb

Year: 2021- 2022

Signature: Mabhade.



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SE
COLLEGE OF PHARMA. GAI
CHANGNIAD (No. 2014)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Grade I	Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very go	od, 3: (Good, 4	: Fair	
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge	~			
2	Planning Abilities	~			
3 .	Problem Analysis	~			
4	Modem tool usage		~		
5	Leadership skills	~			
6	Professional Identity	~	+35		
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics		~		
8	Communication	/		218.1	wast.
9	The Pharmacist and society	~			
10	Environment and Sustainability		~		(8)
11	Life -long learning	_			

90%-100% (

70%-50%


90%-70% (

Below 50% (

DIST MASSIER

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

Chandwall Dist. Nachik



Name of Student: Chavan pranjal sanjay

Year: 2021-2022

Signature:

Parel



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN DA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHA AINAGAR
CHANDWAD (MANAGAR)
2



Tal, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Year: Final Year

Course Title: B pharmacy

Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge	~			
2	Planning Abilities	~			
3 ,	Problem Analysis	~			
4	Modern tool usage		~		
5	Leadership skills	~			
6	Professional Identity	~		700g	
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics		/		
8	Communication	~		# FLP	X - X
9	The Pharmacist and society	~			. 8
10	Environment and Sustainability		~		- 6
11	Life -long learning	~			

1. Were the objectives of the Program met Please give overall rating of the Program (√ ANY ONE)

> 70%-50% (90%-100% (~

Below 50% (90%-70% (

> PRINCIPAL SNJB's SKRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

Yes/ To some extent/ No





Signature:

Achusare

Suggestions to improve the overall teaching -learning process

I			
П			
m			
Mention any th			tution
1		24	
n'		80	
III.		~	
		~/	
Manager	Bornare	Ashwini	Bhogwell
Name of Student:			3



SNJB'S SHRIMAN L.
COLLEGE OF PHARIZ.
CHANDWAD (INC. MK) A JAIN MAGAR



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2020 - 2021

90%-100% (

90%-70% (

Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Grader	Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very goo	oa, 3: G	100d, 4	: Fair	810
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge			~	
2	Planning Abilities			/	
3 •	Problem Analysis			/	
4	Modern tool usage		/		
5	Leadership skills		in the	1	
6	Professional Identity				
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics	A 11 =	/	[-9]	
8	Communication			1	
9	The Pharmacist and society	1	ESIL	Y	1 2
10	Environment and Sustainability			/	
11	Life -long learning		/	W,	

CHANDWAD SE CHANDWAD CONTROL OF CHANDWAD

70%-50% (

Below 50% (

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching –learning process

NII II - S	
4. Mention any three best practices of the institution:	- (C)
1. Vision Mission	
11. Faculty.	
III. Mentor Maintaining	_
5. Mention any three weakness of the institution	
1	
11	-
m.	_
Name of Student: Chotekar Vaibhar Ash	ok.
Year:	7



Signature:

PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

	Fitle: B. Pharmacy Einal: Year B. Pharmacy				
	oints: 1: Excellent, 2: Very goo				
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge			~	1162
2	Planning Abilities			V -	
3 🔹	Problem Analysis			V	
4	Modern tool usage			V	
5	Leadership skills		V	V	
6	Professional Identity	V			
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics	1	2 219	15	
8	Communication	~			
9	The Pharmacist and society	- Mail	V	100	350
10	Environment and Sustainability		V		
11	Life -long learning	V		7-8.4	ing i

 Were the objectives of the Program met 	Xes/ To some extent/ No
--	-------------------------

2. Please give overall rating of the Program (√ ANY ONE)

90%-100% (🗸)

70%-50% (

90%-70% (

Below 50% (



PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEISINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching –learning process

13
#C.1
200
1025

Co

Y

G

4. Mentio	n any three	best prac	tices of the i	nstitution : National	Anthem.
п	Mento	mai	vicirind		
III i. Mention	n any three	weakness	of the instit	ution	
Ι	AT (10)				
11	No.				
177					

Name of Student: Jain Shauti Piyush

Year: 2020-2021

Signature: - gauti

PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHCADA JAM? COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMERICAÇAR,

CHANDWAD (NASAIA)





SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Engineering Academic Year: 2021-22

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceuties.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Engineering course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 4:				
2.2	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
 How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? 	82.2	15.6	2.2	383	
2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	73.3	26.7	-	8	
3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	77.8	20	2.2	7	
4. How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	77.8	20	2.2	-	
5. How will you rate overall the course?	77.8	20	2.2		
Total responses					
% Responses			1	ICI.	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.



STUB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADAJANN COLLEGE OF PHASTIACY NEMINAGARA CHANDWAD (HASHAN)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 5 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

45 responses

40

30

10

0 (0%) 1 (2.2%) 7 (15.6%)



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARIJACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (H425HK)




SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

IQAC

423131

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF OF THE STREET NEMINAGAR



SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

	orcion rate me cour	se on the scale 1 - 4?		ال
sponses				
43				
30				25 (77.8%)
20				
10				
1060	0 (0%)	1 (2 2%)	9 (20%)	
9	1	2	3	4
C the A has	• The resultation desperature •	n mentan seperan e El m companyolation estate e e		e -
C the A has	The standard angularities and the standard search sea	2037-9028 D &	D 0 0 5	9
C the A has	* N. HOLLING AND AND A MINISTER OF CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF T	2037-9028 D &	D 0 0 5	9
C the A has	The standard angularities and the standard search and the standard search and the standard form Agriculture vig Course forestands form	2037-9028 D &	D 0 0 5	0
C the A has	The standard angularities and the standard search and the standard search and the standard form Agriculture vig Course forestands form	2037-9028 D &	DOS 5	0
C the A has	The standard angularities and the standard search and the standard search and the standard form Agriculture vig Course forestands form	Destroy September 10 to	DOS 5	0
C the A has	The state argument of the state of the	Destroy September 10 to	DOS 5	0
C the A has	45 responses	Charges	DOS 5	0
C the A has	45 responded	Designation of the second of t	DOS 5	•
C the A has	45 responded Who has responded Crust Low Responded	Pe resultati dispressore di El troncolore di Statione de la troncolore de la tronc	DOS 5	0



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SUPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHASTIACY NEMITIAGAR
CHANDWAD INASKIN



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Pharmacoutical engi	receing Course healthack	tore: 2021-2	oss to so			000	e a E	3 :
			iamers, Air		Settings			-170
				-				
	Email+							
	annapapation(x)	heli rom						
	Name of Student							
	Parks Spicialist Pay							
	SI-GUILLENIE							
	How model you rank	He course on	the scale (- +	in the basis of	Sultiment of a	New Trees T. C.		
		9	2					
	Emope	0	0	0		Examina		
AND THE RES	e Elizabeth				CONTRACT OF			No.
Charles Services	66	ON U	2000	COLUMN TO SERVICE	NINE	SOUTH STREET	CA COLUMN	CARD.
						elaterzymikowy z ist	MCR. et a	* 0 0
C (& Acceptage com	- CHINCONNAILEN AL	in refined the	A PROPERTY OF			ын <u>кан</u> нация. В Ø Ф 5	er 🔙	• D (
C (& Acceptage com	The Second	imalizaci din	A PROPERTY OF	*********	wephasi.	. О Ф 5	e 🛌	• 0 0
C (& Acceptage com	The Section of the Se	form 3021-20	#####################################		end-ored-sa-	D Ø Ø 5	et s	. 00
C (& Acceptage com	The first Section (form 3021-20	#####################################		end-ored-sa-	D Ø # 5	e s	. 00
C (& Acceptage com	The first Section (form 3021-20	#####################################		end-ored-sa-	D Ø # 5	et s	* 0 0
C (& Acceptage com	The first Section (form 3021-20	#####################################		end-ored-sa-	D Ø Ø 5	d s	* 0 0
C (& Acceptage com	The five Secretary is the and the five Secretary Course Teaching to cate other than excluding? How would you cate other than excluding?	form 3021-20	PRICE ALL SERVICES SE	ones O I	eninge The confirm an	D Ø Ø 5	d s	* 0 0
C (& Acceptage com	The first & some water to the first water to the first of the first to	form 3021-20	PRICE ALL SERVICES SE	ones O I	eninge The confirm an	D Ø Ø 5	e s	* 0 0
C (& Acceptage com	The five Secretary is the and the five Secretary Course Teaching to cate other than excluding? How would you cate other than excluding?	form 3021-20	PRICE ALL SERVICES SE	ones O I	eninge The confirm an	D Ø Ø 5	e s	
C (& Acceptage com	The five Secretary is the and the five Secretary Course Teaching to cate other than excluding? How would you cate other than excluding?	form 3021-20 (b)	PRINCE 20, AND AND CORP. To A to A social to A to A social to A to	O CONTRACTOR	eninge The confirm an	D Ø Ø 5	d s	
C (6 kogyaphyrni er 5 man as been i Plurmacaulau angin	How would you cate to some required to the sound of the s	form 3021-20 form 3021-20 file course on the	PRICES AND SECOND SECON	Patronia of	entrope On company (for	D Ø 5 I accently discussed * Excellent Charles and *		
C (6 kogyaphyrni er 5 man as been i Plurmacaulau angin	The fire of accomple	form 3021-20 form 3021-20 file course on the	PRICES AND SECOND SECON	Patronia of	entrope On company (for	D Ø 5 I accently discussed * Excellent Charles and *	d bo	
C (6 kogyaphyrni er 5 man as been i Plurmacaulau angin	How would you cate to some required to the sound of the s	form 3021-20 form 3021-20 file course on the	PRICES AND SECOND SECON	Patronia of	entrope On company (for	D Ø 5 I accently discussed * Excellent Charles and *	d S	* 0 C
C (6 kogyaphyrni er 5 man as been i Plurmacaulau angin	How would you cate to some required to the sound of the s	form 3021-20 form 3021-20 file course on the	PRICES AND SECOND SECON	Patronia of	entrope On company (for	D Ø 5 I accently discussed * Excellent Charles and *	e S	* 0 C



JAKASHIA)



SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Phormacounical eng	reering Course locations	form 2021-20	n ca su			D	0	0	0	ď	See !	1	C
			enters Prop		lettings .								
	How would jou raile building duality?	t y obasamo	the locale () - 4 c	on the lieux of	rating of more	cies and cor	esat	41					
			1/	× 10 .	91								
	Arrage	0	0	0	0	Excell	re						
	How would you swer		me an the soul	11-47									
			16	3	19								
	Next	0	C	0	•	tion	14						
	would you like to pu												



SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHISEMACY NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 05/02/2022

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2021-22

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Engineering (BP304T)

Feedback given by students: 45

Percent Feedback: 75

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	82,2	73.3	77.8	77.8
Very good	15.6	26.7	20	20
Good	2.2	2.2		2.2
Fair	-			616

Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- 1. Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARIJACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIX)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2021-22

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutics I course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	esponses	(Total	25)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	64	32	-	4
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	40	52	8	
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	76	16	8	-
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	48	48	4	**
5,	How will you rate overall the course?	48	48	4	11/20
	Total responses	276	196	24	4
	% Responses	55.2	39.2	4.8	0.8

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.



PRINCIPAL

ENJE'S SHRIMAN SUGESHDADA JAIN

CCLL-18

VELSNAGER

17

423131





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

25 responses

15 10 (5%)

10 (5%)



PRINCIPAL
SNJE'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PRINCIPAL SUREMINAGAR
CHANDERD (NASSER)





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


low would you rate the course ovelty discussed other than s		basis of the content and	[Сору
Sreaponaes	Commence.		
15			
		13 (52%)	
10			10 (40%)
			10
5 lii			100 0000000000000000000000000000000000
0 (0%)	2 (8%)	la l	
0	2	3	4
			1.50
responses			
20			
			19 (76%)
15			19 (76%)
			19 (76%)
15			19 (76%)
15	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	19 (76%)
15 18	2(05)	4 (16%)	19 (76%)
15 10 5 0 (0%)			
15 10 5 0 (9%)	2		
15 10 5 0 (9%)			



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, HEMMAGAR



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN STRESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PRIATCHACY, MEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

21-22 Pharmacouries 4.5	Course feedback	Ŕ				ຄ	0	0	5	ú	50	1	(
		6	animy Per	Φ.	betray								
	Mow would you rate	the saveur or	Descriet-F	on the back of	titios et a	patters*							
		350	2		*(
	former	12	0	0	•	fort	77						
	other than syllabus?		the scale (-4	ne the base of	the content are	t movement as a second	NAME OF	*:					
		10	32	30	390								
	hese:	0	O	(0)		Eurle	*						
	THE STORE WAS COLUMN	Marine Control	and the second second			and the same							
	COMMUNICATION THAT		ner scatt 1 - 4 -	m me bane or	managed (199		ing.						ĕ
Commence in the Commence of th	ACCUSANCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	TAXILO CONTE			I have been a second								-
C · supplementary	resolt (20) suppression (18) material (18) suppression (1	C ziczner											ě
to \$300 verbroogs 0 Pr. 1	minifestical description of the Control of the Cont	CD 21CZ PWY									Hex-		2
C + supplements	minifestical description of the Control of the Cont	© лістны этомниціца	collect Supre		OF RESTRICTION						Hex-		2
C + supplements	minifestical description of the Control of the Cont	O siceness arconstitution	enter har	0 to	eterny area to a co	£	o o				Hex-		E
C + supplements	Hon would sound	O siceness arconstitution	enter har	0 to	eterny area to a co	£	o o				Hex-		
C + supplements	Hon would sound	O siceness arconstitution	enter har	0 to	eterny area to a co	£	o o				Hex-		
C + supplements	Hon would sound	O siceness arconstitution	enter har	0 to	eterny area to a co	Ω 	o o				Hex-		-
C + supplements	Hon would sound	C Property of the Control of the Con	enters Assert	ent C	eterny area to a co	Ω 	o o				Hex-		-
C + supplements	Howeld the second of the control of	CO PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	enters Assert	men ()	morps where of source	Ω 	o o				Hex-		-
C + supplements	Howeld the second of the control of	C Property of the Control of the Con	enters Assert	ent C	eterny area to a co	Ω 	O .				Hex-		E
C + supplements	How would you serve Average	CO PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	enters Assert	men ()	morps where of source	E)	O .				Hex-		E
C + supplements	How would you sensy	CO PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	enters Assert	men ()	morps where of source	E)	O .				Hex-		E



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMIMAGAR
CHAMONAD MASHIN



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 11/01/2022

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2021-22

Semester: 1

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutics I (BP103T)

Feedback given by students: 25

Percent Feedback: 41

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	64	40	76	48
Very good	32	52	16	48
Good	+	8	8	U 4
Fair	4			94

Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
 - 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
 - 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Improvement in

- Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.

3. Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN GURESHDADA JAIN CONTROL TO ANY MEMINAGAR

CHASHIN (HASHIN)



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

Uain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II course for the academic year 2021 - *22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	lesponses	(Total	19)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	45	04	00	00
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	39	10	00	00
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	44	05	00	00
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	45	03	01	00
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	45	04	00	00
	Total responses	218	26	01	00





PRINCIPAL


SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



ESTD - 1928

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



	% Responses	88.97	10.61	0.40	0.00
Y					

Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani


PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)






SNIB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Join Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





PRINCIPAL SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMPHAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)




SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU












SNIB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. **NBA Accredited UG Program**

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU






CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU









PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEUMBAGAR
CHANDWAD (MASHIK)



SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukui), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU







PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

7




SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



- O to disapply the contract of	The state of the s		470
mande Assesses Sta	Only 2 to Ottomany	3.0	DI
Octoberania Dia	Control of the Contro	STATISTICS.	C) expense
. Consideration of the second		2000	
	6444 <u>1500 0</u> 4446		
	44 (employment) II		
	(- market)		
	Acres Name (mass		
	(446)		
	harmonia.		
	And Annual Control of the Control of		
	Source:		
	Service.		
	SO. G. B. Sales		
	1. The contract of the contrac		
P (Selections) - D xxx	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	~ 3 C G W I	- 0
h man and managaria . (2000)	THE STREET OF TH		- 0 D
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	THE STREET OF TH		- 0 D
h man and managaria . (2000)	Distribution of the American Section of the Section		- 0 D
h man managhar) + 12 man + C (5 harperhandsmooth	THE STREET OF TH		- 0 D 1
h man managhar) + 12 man + C (5 harperhandsmooth	Description of the Company of the Co		- 0 D 1
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	Distribution of the American Section of the Section		- 0 D
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	Buller Charles Co. A. C. S. States		- 0 D
h man A menosphere) + 12 mon + C (5 designation of 3 menosphere & designation of 5 pm	Description of the Company of the Co		- 0 D
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	Buller Charles Co. A. C. S. States		- 0 D
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	Section of the Sectio		- 0 D
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	Description of the second seco		- 0 D
h man A menungkera) + 12 men + C (5 dengangkan tempo (3) menungkan dan menungkan (3) pen	Section of the Sectio		- 0 D
h man managhar) + 12 man + C (5 harperhandsmooth	Description of the second seco		- 0 D 1
h man managhar) + 12 man + C (5 harperhandsmooth	Description of the adjustment of the contract		- 0 D 1
h man a managhara) + 12 man + 12 (5) has perfect to monthly managed for the same code = 5 per	The state of the s		- 0 D 1
ham A managhrid . Disco • C C hingaphantmattle tomate for a new color 5 for	Description of the second seco		- 0 D 1
ham A managhing . Date C C haspageantmast a	Description of the second seco		- 0 D 1
ham A managhing . Date C C haspageantmast a	Description of the second seco		- 0
h man A serveraphony . [] www. • C (5) Antiquephone to man (1) to make the distance (1) 20 miles	Description of the second seco		- 0 D 1







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program

Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU







PRINCIPAL
SNJE'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIK
COLLEGE OF PRAKMACY NEUMIAGAR
CHAMDWAD (MASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/12/2021

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II (BP301T)

Feedback given by students: 49

Percent Feedback: 82

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	45	39	44	45	45
Very good	04	10	05	03	04
Good	00	00	00	01	00
Fair	00	00	00	00	00

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- 1. Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- 3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINC!PAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF THE REAL OF MEMINAGAR

CHARLES AD DUASNIK)





Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-III

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III course for the academic year 2021 - '22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of R	esponses	(Total	57)
		Excellent	Very	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	56	01	00	00
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	57	00	00	00
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	56	01	00	00
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	55	02	00	.00
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	33	23	01	00
	Total responses	257	27	01	00
	% Responses	90	9.47	0.35	00
		-			



PRINCIPAL

SNUB'S SHRIMAN SURECHOADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PARA SECUREMINAGAR



Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHASSMACY, NEIJINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)






Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





PRINCIPAL
SNJ8'S SHRIMAN SUNCHOOLDA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PRANCISCY, REMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)









PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SIPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE SPANNAGAR
(COLLEGE SPANNAGAR)





CHANDRAS (MASHIK)







PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF FOR STRACY, NEMINAGAR
CHARLULAD (NASHIK)







PRINCIPAL
SNUB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARM CYRESONAGAR
CHANCIVAL JUNE 2016

7



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 08/06/2022

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Academic Year: 2021-'22 Semester: IV

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III (BP401T)

Feedback given by students: 57

Percent Feedback: 83

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	56	57	56	55	33
Very good	01	00	01	02	23
Good	00	00	00	00	01
Fair	00	00	00	00	00

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- 1. Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- 3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMIHAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Associate Proessor

Department of Pharmacology.

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the BP602T Pharmacology III course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	46	16	02	00		
The course contents met with your expectations	45	18	01	00		
The lecture sequence was well planned	47	16	01	00		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	45	15	04	00		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	46	17	01	00		
Will you recommend this course in your program	49	13	02	00		
responses	PT T	64		1		
% Responses	72.39	24.73	2.86	00		



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOAD JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMAC
CHANDWAD IN STA





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)		No. of Responses (Total)				
The lecturers were clear and		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
The lecturers were clear and easy to		49	14	01	00	
The teaching aids were effectively t		50	14	00	00	
The course material handed out was	s adequate	48	14	02	00	
Were the objectives of the course m		48	15	01	00	
Please give overall rating of the cou	irse	50	13	01	00	
responses	Total		64		.00	
	% Responses	76.56	21.87	1.56	00	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani
PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIDKI PRESHDADA JAH
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHK)

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

TRESHO

CHANDWAD DIST, NASHIK

PIN-423101

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA IAN
COLLE SO TE PHARO ACTIVE MANOWAD (NASHIK)

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACYIQAC CHANDWAD, C

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Feedback Analysis

Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Associate Proessor

Department of Pharmacology.

Dear Sir,


Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the BP503T Pharmacology II course for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	47	12	04	00		
The course contents met with your expectations	42	17	01	00		
The lecture sequence was well planned	48	12	03	00		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	47	12	04	00		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	42	17	04	00		
Will you recommend this course in your program	47	13	01	00		
responses	63			7.7		
% Responses	72.22	21.95	4.49	00		







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. o	No. of Responses (Total)				
The Lawrence of the Control of the C	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	45	18	00	00		
The teaching aids were effectively used	47	12	04	00		
The course material handed out was adequate	48	12	03	00		
Were the objectives of the course met	49	13	1000			
Please give overall rating of the course		LISURY .	01	00		
g of the course	47	14	02	00		
responses	63			1000		
% Response	74.92	21.90	3.17	00		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

CHANONAD

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN FITPESHDADAJAIN COLLE . DE PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacology-1 course for the academic year 2021-2022 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 51)				
	Excell	Very good	Good	Fair	
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	10	04	29	8	
The course contents met with your expectations	09	06	31	5	
The lecture sequence was well planned	30	07	12	2	
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	11	07	29	4	
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	29	08	14	0	
Will you recommend this course in your program	21	16	12	2	
Total responses	51	•			
% Responses	80.29 %				

THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 51)				
TO STATE OF THE ST	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	8	24	16	3	
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	22	17	5	
The course material handed out was adequate	25	15	7	4	
Were the objectives of the course met	14	23	11	3	
Please give overall rating of the course	7	22	19	3	
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	14	21	14	2	
Total responses	51				
% Responses	80.29 %				

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP601T) Theory

Parameter(s)	No.	of Response	s (Total 2	5/62)
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	17	07	01	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	19	05	01	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	19	06	***	***
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	22	03	-	-
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	19	06	75.5	jæ.
Would you like to suggest anything?		No		
Total responses	25	25	25	25
% Responses	40	40	40	40

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives
25 responses

O Copy

20

0.(0%)

1 (66)

7 (CIPN)





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SHARSHBARAJAN
COLLEGE OF THE CHARLES CHARLES




SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU







PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIZAR SUPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARLICOV, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, through assignments, Seminars will be conducted.

Google form Response Document

(H. V. Shahanty Love Course in charge HOD

Dr. C. D. Upasani Principal

Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIPAN THOADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARE THE MINAGAR CHANDYSAD (MASSIED)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

SHER MC Stored Granda Store

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

32

22 10 0.00% DIENI 2 3


How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 47

Copy

Copy

(Copy

24 responses



Would you like to suggest anything?

24 responses

20 10 1 (4.2%) 1 (4,2%) 114250 1 (42%) 3 (12.5% No suggestions

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

E310-161

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

Copy


24 responses

20 21 (27.5%) 2 10 2 2 2

How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 42

10 Copy


24 responses



Would you like to suggest anything?

24 responses

Copy





PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

Copy How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 47 25 tespenses Would you like to suggest anything? Cep, 25 responees 14457 1 (414) tie suggestions

Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, group discussion by giving one topic will be employed.

(H. V.Shahare) Course in-charge

Dr. C. D. Upasani

good teaching

TOAC

Ditt. btarb #22101

Principal PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-VI

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-III (BP607P) Practical

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 24/62)					
- non	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	19	04	01	-		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	17	06	01	: 144		
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	21	03	*			
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	20	04	-	O+4		
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	19	05	345	##0		
Would you like to suggest anything?		No	,			
Total responses	24	24	24	24		
% Responses	39	39	39	39		




PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDAGAJAN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist, Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU IOAC STORY

How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 47.




Would you like to suggest anything?

D Copy

[Copy

24 responses



Action Taken:

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, individual skill and hand will be developed on particular experiments.

(H. X. Shahare)

Course in-charge

HOD

Dr. C. D. Upasani

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

(1) 103 113 103

SNJBs

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

GURES OF THE STATE OF THE STATE

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Copy


24 негранция



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

Cody


24 responses



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

O CORY

24 responses





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NENINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



2021-22 COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2021-22

Class: Third Year B. Pharm. Semester-V

Subject: Medicinal Chemistry-II (BP501T) Theory

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 24/62)			
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	19	05	124	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	16	07	01	**
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	19	05	-	986
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	21	03	(##	
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	17	07	1200	-
Would you like to suggest anything?	No			
Total responses	24	24	24	24
% Responses	39	39	39	39







SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

IQAC PARTIES OF PARTIE

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives

24 irreponses

15

10


How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

0 (0%)

Copy

24 responses


0 (0%)



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

Copy

24 responses





PRINCIPAL
SNJ6'S SHRIMAN SUKESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (MASHIK)

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

ICAC Creeded Det to cal Apotet

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

O Coop


31 responses



Copy

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?


31 inspenses



Copy

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

\$1 responses





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN STATEMANADA JAM
COLLEGE OF FMAN (1905) REMINADAR
CHANCURA (1905)



SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025) Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

COURSE FEEDBACK FORM 2020-21

Academic Year 2020-21

Class: Third Year B. Pharm, Semester-IV

Subject; Medicinal Chemistry-II (501T) Theory

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 31/62)			
	Excellent (4)	Very good (3)	Good (2)	Average (1)
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives	24	07	-	-
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	21	08	02	-
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?	22	80	01	¥.
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	21	09	01	×
How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 -	22	08	01	-
Would you like to suggest anything?		AV.		
Total responses	31	31 No	31	24
% Responses	50	50	50	31 50





SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


NBA Accredited UG Program (2019-2022, 2022-2025). Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU

How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

Charles Disk Nation Copy 433101







Action Taken;

- The overall course feedback by students was shown satisfactory. The satisfaction towards the course understanding, objectives, and outcome as fulfilled by the students were verified through their excellent results.
- For more fruitful outcomes, through assignments, Seminars will be conducted.

(H. WShalmre)

Course in-charge

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIDAN CONTROL JAIN COLLEGE OF SHALL MAY SEMMAGAR CHAHOVIAC (NASHIN)

Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I course for the academic year 2021-'22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 54)			
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	23	26	3	2
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	23	19	10	2
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	21	22	7	4
4.	How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	30	13	7	4
5.	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	26	12	2	3
	Total responses	123	92	29	15
	% Responses	45.55%	34.07%	10.74%	5.55%

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued





support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely



Dr. C. D. Upasani PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHAWAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHAR JACK NEWWAGAR


Note: A Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.



1. How would you rate the course on the scale 1. 4 on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?


(Lawy

\$4 responses




2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

\$4 responses



3. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? Copy


54 responses





 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? 0


64 responses



How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

O Copy

54 responses



6. Would you like to suggest anything?

54 responses

No

No

No any suggestions

Nothing

Mam, You Teach Very Well, All Concepts Are Clear

Teaching good







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Peog & Phyto-12022-2023 1 12







Commission Control State



Questions Responses (1) Settings

Tenzoni di

0 of 0 points

P'cog & Phyto-I 2022-2023 Course Feedback Form

Program B Pharmacy Academic year: 2022-2023

Year/Semester Second year B. pharmacy Sem IV

Course title Pharmacognosy & Phytochemistry-I (Theory)

Course Code: BP405T

Faculty In Charge Dr Charulata T Nemade

* indicates required question

Write your name here. *

Aarya Gujrani

Add individual feedback







SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

 How would you objectives? 	rate the course	on the scale 1	- 4 on the basi	s of fulfillment	of *	
	10	2	3	4		
Average	0	0	0	•	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	BEK					
How would you novelty discussed of			- 4 on the basi	s of the content	and *	i
	\tilde{x}	2	3.	:4		
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	rack					
How would you (Teaching Tools) and			- 4 on the basi	s of presenting	*	9
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	•	0	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	ack					
 How would you examples and conce 			- 4 on the basi	is of variety of		3
	1	2	3	4		
Average	0	•	0	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	ack					







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

5 How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 47 *

1 2 1 4 5
Average 0 0 0 0 0 Excelent

Add individual feedback

6. Would you like to suggest anything? *

All was perfect

Add individual feedback

SUMMERS TO THE





Feedback Analysis Herbal Drug Technology Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Assistant Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Herbal Drug Technology course for the academic year 2021-*22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 42)						
	icte:	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair			
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	26	16	0	0			
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	26	14	2	0			
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	26	15	1	0			
4.	How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	25	16		0			
5.	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	20	19	3	0			
	Total responses	123	70	7	0			
	% Responses	37.84%	21.53%	2.15%	0%			

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued

support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. CDRINGLIRAL

CHANDUAD (NASHIK)


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.








Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and property discussed other than syllabus?

42 responses










Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


3 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the hasis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? Copy

all responses




4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? O Geor

42 responses



How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

Copy







Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II Academic Year: 2021-'22

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II course for the academic year 2021-'22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. o	f Response	s (Total	(5)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
 How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? 	29	13	2	1
2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	24	19	2	0
How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	27	14	4	0
4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	27	17	0	1
 How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4? 	22	16	4	3
Total responses	129	79	12	05
% Responses	57.33%	35.11%	5.33%	2.22%

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued



support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. PRINESPAL

SIMPINSINGLY AN SURESHOADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, HEMINAGAR CHANDINAD (NASHIK)


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.






1. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

25 - (2









3

4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 cin the trasic of variety of examples and concept building quality?

41 temporate

6 162261 0-(651)

5 How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?

45 responses

20

10

0 (014)

2 (0.5%)

4 (0.5%)

6. Would you like to suggest anything?

No
All good

No all good

Nothing

Google Forms





PRINCIPAL

5NJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNIB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

IAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,

AT/P, CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandward, Dist. Nashik-123-101.

Ref: SSDJC6P/2021-22/4/5 A



EXAMINATION FEEDBACK ANALYSIS 2021-22

To Dr. M. S. Mahajan College Examination officer SNJB's SSDJ College of Pharmacy, Chandwall

The student feedback (312) for the 2021-22 academic year has been reviewed, highlighting the following insights:

1. Timetable Clarity:

The majority of students found the exam timetable easy to follow: 281, 90.06%.

2. Venue Accessibility:

Students generally reported no issues in locating exam venues: 273, 87.50%.

3. Exam Rules Communication:

 Most students understood the rules well, favoring digital communication methods like email and social media (WhatsApp Groups for respective classes): 280, 89.74%.

4. On-Site Instructions:

Clear guidance was provided on where to place personal belongings in the exam halls.
 296, 94.86%.

5. Hall Arrangements:

 The seating and facilities were effectively managed, with positive feedback from students; 282, 90.38%.

6. Invigilator Support:

Invigilators were prompt and attentive to student needs: 265, 84.93%.

7. Post-Exam Feedback:

 The level of satisfaction with faculty feedback on performance was achieved, indicating that students felt the feedback they received was adequate; 291, 93.26%.

8. Overall Experience:

 The examination experience was rated highly, with most students marking it as "Excellent" or "Very Good." 287, 91,98%.

This feedback will help guide further enhancements to our examination processes, ensuring we continue to meet student expectations.

Warm regards,

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal,

Shriman Sureshdada Jain College of Pharmacy, Chandwad

DIST. NASHIN

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (MASHIR)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Feedback Analysis

Dr. Aman B. Upaganlawar Associate Professor Library Incharge

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Library Facility Feedback for the academic year 2021-22 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

4. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 2	79)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
Are the library access hours suitable?	24	166	75	14
Are the most recent books accessible?	112	136	36	17
Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?	71	104	86	18
Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	54	123	88	14
Is the book issue facility available during examination?	144	95	27	13
Total responses	279			
% Responses	84.29 %			

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Facility Feedback Action Taken (Computer and Internet)

Name of Staff: Mr. R. A. Khandare

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Facility: Computer Lab and internet facility

Feedback given by students: 279

4. The Design of Facility

Percent Feedback:84.73 %

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Excellent	10	45	68	44	72	37	43
Very good	62	67	126	45	78	64	37
Good	133	104	73	171	122	153	171
Fair	45	63	12	19	7	25	28

Questions:

- I How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?
- 2 How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?
- 3 Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?
- 4 Is there enough internet access available on campus?
- 5 Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?
- 6 Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?
- 7 Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

Corrective action: The access hours for student in computer library will be enchased.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





SNJB's

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY


JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,

AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Program Outcome Feedback Analysis

Academic year 21-22

We have analyzed 30 program outcome feedback forms. The feedback given by students is shown below in graphical form. No weakness are received.



Action taken

Around 93 percent of students given excellent remark regarding program outcomes.

They have not mentioned any weakness.

Mrs. V.R.Daga Class Incharge

Dr. C.D.Upasani Principal



PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PRAREJACY, NEMIMAGAR CHANDWAD (RASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Name of Staff: Rakesh A. Khandare

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Course and Course Code: BP404T/P PCol-I

Feedback given by students:

Semester: IV

Percent Feedback:80.29

· The Design of Course

Question	1	2	3	-4	5	6
Excellent	10	9	30	11	29	21
Very good	4	6	07	7	8	16
Good	29	31	12	29	14	12
Fair	8	5	2	4	0	2

Questions:

- Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- · The course contents met with your expectations
- The lecture sequence was well planned
- The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- Will you recommend this course in your program

· The Conduct of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	8	7	25	14	7	14
Very good	24	22	15	23	22	21
Good	16	17	7	11	19	14
Fair	3	5	4	3	3	2

Questions:

- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand
- · The teaching aids were effectively used
- · The course material handed out was adequate
- Were the objectives of the course met
- Please give overall rating of the course
- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand

Remarks: Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.

Sign of Staff

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Rakesh A. Khandare

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Course and Course Code: BP101T/P HAP-I

Feedback given by students:

Semester: I

Percent Feedback:80.29

· The Design of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	10	9	30	11	29	21
Very good	4	6	07	7	8	16
Good	29	31	12	29	14	12
Fair	8	5	2	4	0	2

Questions:

- Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- The course contents met with your expectations
- The lecture sequence was well planned
- The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- Will you recommend this course in your program

· The Conduct of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	8	7	25	14	7	14
Very good	24	22	15	23	22	21
Good	16	17	7	11	19	14
Fair	3	5	4	3	3	2

Questions:

- · The lecturers were clear and easy to understand
- The teaching aids were effectively used
- The course material handed out was adequate
- Were the objectives of the course met
- Please give overall rating of the course
- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand

Remarks: Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.

Sign of Staff

CHANDWAD DIST, NASHIK PIN. 423101

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/06/2022

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Academic Year: 2021-22

Course and Course Code: BP602T Pharmacology III

Semester: 6

Feedback given by students: 64

Percent Feedback: 98.46

3. The Design of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	- 5	
Excellent	46	45	47	45	46	49
Very good	16	18	16	15	17	
Good	02	01	01	04	01	13
Fair	00	00	00	00	00	02
	1		100	1.00	00	00

Questions:

- 1. Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- 2. The course contents met with your expectations
- 3. The lecture sequence was well planned
- 4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- 6. Will you recommend this course in your program

4. The Conduct of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	49	50	48	48	50
Very good	14	14	14	15	13
Good	01	00	02	01	01
Fair	00	00	00	00	00

CPTO STATE

PIRINIC LEAL

MICHAELA GUIESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHAUDACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Questions:

- 1. The lecturers were clear and easy to understand
- 2. The teaching aids were effectively used
- 3. The course material handed out was adequate
- Were the objectives of the course met
- 5. Please give overall rating of the course



Action Taken Report:

1. Refinement of Lecture Sequence:

- Feedback: The lecture sequence received high ratings, but there was a slight variation in student responses, with a small percentage rating it as 'Good.'
- Action: A more detailed review of the lecture sequence will be conducted to identify areas where the flow of content could be further optimized. This may include reorganizing certain topics for better coherence or integrating more transitional elements between lectures to ensure a seamless learning experience.

2. Increased Focus on Case Studies and Practical Examples:

- Feedback: While the majority of students rated the use of examples and case studies as 'Excellent,' there was a slight indication that this aspect could be strengthened.
- Action: Additional real-world case studies and practical examples will be integrated into the course content. Collaborations with industry professionals may be sought to provide students with contemporary examples that align with current trends in pharmacology.

3. Expanded Course Material and Resources:

- Feedback: Although the course material was rated highly, with the majority of students marking it as 'Excellent,' there was a minor suggestion that it could be more comprehensive.
- Action: The course material will be expanded to include supplementary reading lists, additional reference articles, and optional online resources. This will give students more opportunities to deepen their understanding of the subject matter and explore areas of particular interest in greater detail.

CHANDWAD

DIST, NASHIK

PIN-423101

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/06/2022

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Manojkumar S. Mahajan

Academic Year: 2021-22

Course and Course Code: BP503T Pharmacology II

Semester: 5

Feedback given by students: 63

Percent Feedback: 96,92

1. The Design of Course

1	2	3	4	5	6
47	42	48	47	42	47
12	17		12	17	13
04	01		04	04	01
00	00				00
	12	12 17 04 01	12 17 12 04 01 03	12 17 12 12 04 01 03 04	12 17 12 12 17 17 104 01 03 04 04

Questions:

- 1. Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- 2. The course contents met with your expectations
- 3. The lecture sequence was well planned
- 4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- 5. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- Will you recommend this course in your program

2. The Conduct of Course

Question	1.0	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	45	47	48	49	47	0
Very good	18	12	12	13	14	
Good	00	04	03	01	02	
Fair	00	00	00	00	00	

Character of the Charac

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal, Chandwad, Dist, Nashik-423 101.

Questions:

- 1. The lecturers were clear and easy to understand
- 2. The teaching aids were effectively used
- 3. The course material handed out was adequate
- Were the objectives of the course met
- 5. Please give overall rating of the course

Action Taken Report:

1. Enhancement of Teaching Aids:

- Feedback: While the majority of students rated the teaching aids as 'Excellent,' there was a slight drop in this rating compared to other aspects of the course.
- Action: To further improve student engagement and understanding, additional multimedia resources, such as animations and interactive simulations, will be incorporated into the lectures. This will enhance the effectiveness of the teaching aids and cater to diverse learning preferences.

2. Continuous Improvement of Course Content:

- Feedback: The course received overwhelmingly positive feedback regarding content and delivery, but a small number of students rated some aspects as 'Good' instead of 'Excellent.'
- Action: To maintain and improve the high standards of the course, periodic reviews of the course material will be conducted. This will include updating case studies and examples with the latest research and practices in pharmacology to ensure that the course remains relevant and cutting-edge.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN OLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/05/2022

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2021-22

Semester: VI

Course and Course Code: Herbal Drug Technology (BP603T)

Feedback given by students: 57

Percent Feedback: 83.82%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	26	26	26	25	20
Very good	16	14	15	16	19
Good	0	2	1	i	3
Fair	0	0	0	0	0

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

Remarks:

All the students who have filled this feedback form are satisfied with my teaching skills. I will try my best to upgrade student's knowledge.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEUMAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 25/05/2022

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Semester: IV Academic Year: 2021-'22

Course and Course Code: Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I (BP405T)

Feedback given by students: 54

Percent Feedback: 79.41%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	23	23	21	30	26
Very good	26	19	22	13	12
Good	3	10	7	7	2
Fair	2	2	4	4	3

Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

Remarks:

Powerpoint presentation print or hand written notes will be provided to the students. I will try to cover all the topics on Powerpoint presentation for better understanding.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

HANDWAD

ST NASHIK

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/05/2021

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Semester: V

Course and Course Code: Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II (BP504T)

Feedback given by students: 45/65

Percent Feedback: 69.23%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	29	24	27	27	22
Very good	13	19	14	17	16
Good	2	2	4	0	4
Fair	1	0	0	i	3

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- 5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

Remarks:

All the students are well satisfied with your teaching skills. I will try to do my best.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

STREET,

IQAC

Sign of Principal

- 6.00.0000 - 6.00.0000 - 6.01.42.3101

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/05/2021

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2021-22

Semester: V

Course and Course Code: Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II (BP504T)

Feedback given by students: 45/65

Percent Feedback: 69.23%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	29	24	27	27	22
Very good	13	19	14	17	16
Good	2	2	4	0	4
Fair	Ī	0	0	1	3

Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

Remarks:

All the students are well satisfied with your teaching skills. I will try to do my best.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

SAHIES

ICAC in Heating C23101

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/09/2021

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2021-'22

Semester: V

Course and Course Code: Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II (BP504T)

Feedback given by students: 50/68

Percent Feedback: 73.52%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	32	30	28	28	22
Very good	18	18	12	18	20
Good	0	2	10	2	8
Fair	0	0	0	0	0

Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

Remarks:

All the students are well satisfied with my teaching skills.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

eAIRE :

IQAC

Chandwar Dist. Nacha

Sign of Principal

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)